

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,982	01/17/2002	Tomohiro Nakamura	H-1021	H-1021 5397	
7590 04/19/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C.			MEONSKE	MEONSKE, TONIA L	
1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 370 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2183		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/046,982	NAKAMURA, TOMOHIRO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tonia L Meonske	2183				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as-to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2.3.6-8 and 10-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	)						
·	Claim(s) 3,8 and 13 is/are objected to.						
·	<u> </u>						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 Ú.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(e)						
_	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)				
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Tr	1.06						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gabbay et al. in *Can program profiling support value prediction?*.
- 3. The rejection to claim 2 is respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action mailed on August 6, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabbay et al.
- 6. The rejection to claim 6 is respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action mailed on August 6, 2004.
- 7. Claims 7, 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabbay et al. in view of Lipasti et al. in Exceeding the dataflow limit via value prediction.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,982 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

8. The rejection to claims 7, 10, 11, and 12 are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action mailed on August 6, 2004.

### Response to Remarks

- 9. Applicant requests clarification of paragraphs 29 and 30 of the last office action mailed on August 6, 2004. It is noted that paragraph 29 improperly indicates that claims 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 12 are objected to and would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 12 were in fact rejected in the action in paragraphs 11, 17, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28. Paragraph 29 of the last office action mailed on August 6, 2004 was a clearly typo and should not have been present in the action. It is further noted that paragraph 30 of the action was in fact correct.
- 10. Applicant failed to present any arguments for the rejections to claims 2, 6, 7, and 10-12. As such, the rejections to claims 2, 6, 7, and 10-12 are assumed to be valid and the claims are assumed to be of the prior art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 3, 8, and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end

Application/Control Number: 10/046,982

Art Unit: 2183

of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eddie P Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

tlm

Page 4